IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CR. REV. No.685 of 2008

1. PRABHU RAI,
2. SIPAHI RAI,
3. ARJUN RAI,
4. NARESH RAI,
5. RANJEET RAI,
6. SANJEET RAI AND
7. AWADHESH RAI …….. Petitioners

Versus

1. STATE OF BIHAR
2. RAJESHWAR RAI …….. Opposite parties.

---

2. 16.12.2008. This revision has been preferred against the order dated 19.6.2008 passed by F.T.C. II, Chapra in Sessions Trial No.11 of 2007 thereby the petition for discharge filed by the petitioners has been rejected.

Heard the learned counsel for both the parties.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

The submission of the learned counsel for the petitioners is that section 307 I.P.C. is not applicable in this case. All injuries found on the person of the victim were found simple in nature.

However, on perusal of the injury report (Annexure-2), it would appear that the victim was assaulted repeatedly. He received three fractured injuries on different parts of the body apart from other injuries.

Under the circumstances, I find no merit in this revision. Accordingly, this application stands rejected.

(Ghanshyam Prasad)

N.H./